Hedonic Eating: Sex Differences and Characterization of Orexin Activation and Signaling
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Abstract—Palatable taste can stimulate appetite in the absence of hunger, and individual differences in hedonic eating may be critical to overeating. Women are more prone to obesity and binge eating than men, which warrants comparisons of hedonic versus physiological consumption and the underlying neural substrates in both sexes. The current study examined palatable (high-sugar) food consumption in male and female rats under physiological hunger and satiety, and the role of the neuropeptide orexin/hypocretin (ORX). Across multiple tests, females consistently consumed similar amounts of palatable food regardless of whether they were hungry or sated prior to testing. In contrast, males typically adjusted their consumption according to their hunger/satiety state. This difference was specific to palatable food consumption, as both sexes ate standard chow according to their hunger state. ORX is important in food motivation and reward behaviors. Thus, to begin to determine the neuronal mechanisms of hedonic eating, we examined activation and signaling of ORX neurons. We systematically characterized Fos induction patterns of ORX neurons across the entire rostrocaudal extent of the lateral hypothalamus and found that they were activated by food and by fasting in both sexes. Then, we showed that systemic blockade of ORX receptor 1 signaling with SB-334867 decreased palatable food consumption in hungry and sated rats of both sexes. These results demonstrate sex differences in hedonic eating; increased susceptibility in females to overeat palatable food regardless of hunger state, and that ORX is a critical neuropeptide mechanism of hedonic eating in both sexes. © 2020 IBRO. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
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INTRODUCTION

Hunger and palatable taste of food both drive appetite and consumption and could work in accord or independently. Palatability can stimulate appetite through reward mechanisms regardless of physiological hunger, and this form of overeating contributes to obesity and binge eating disorder (Cota et al., 2006; Berridge et al., 2010; Stice et al., 2013). Many modern environments are saturated with easily accessible high-calorie, palatable foods that are innately liked across mammalian species (Berridge, 2000). Cues for these foods can further amplify the drive to eat in the absence of hunger (Weingarten, 1983; Boggiano et al., 2009; Berthoud, 2012; Petrovich, 2013; Kendig et al., 2018).

How individuals respond to sweet taste and other appetite triggers when sated may be an important difference between those who are vulnerable and those who are resistant to overeating (Small, 2009; Reppucci and Petrovich, 2012; Sun et al., 2015). Women are more susceptible than men to weight gain and obesity, as well as binge eating and other disorders (Hudson et al., 2007; Mitchell and Shaw, 2015). These differences warrant comparisons of the neural substrates mediating hedonic versus physiological consumption in both sexes. Here, we compared palatable food consumption in male and female rats and examined the activation and signaling of the neuropeptide orexin/hypocretin (ORX) (de Lecea et al., 1998; Sakurai et al., 1998). ORX is important in the motivation to eat without physiological incentive (Choi et al., 2010; Mahler et al., 2014; Petrovich, 2019), but whether ORX neurons are similarly driving hedonic eating under sated and hungry states in males and females has not been examined.

In order to characterize behavioral and neural substrates in both sexes, in two studies we compared consumption of palatable, sweet-tasting food (high-sugar Test Diet pellets) under the physiological conditions of hunger and satiety. In the first study, we examined male and female rats that were either deprived of food for 20 hours or had ad libitum access to standard rat chow prior to consumption tests. Then we characterized Fos induction in ORX neurons during...
these tests in rats that had access to high-sugar pellets, along with controls without access to food. We systematically characterized patterns of Fos induction in ORX neurons across the entire rostrocaudal extent of the lateral hypothalamic area (LHA).

In the second study, we tested whether Fos induction patterns in ORX neurons observed in the first experiment indicate that ORX signaling is causal to hedonic eating. We systemically blocked ORX signaling via receptor 1 with SB-334867 in male and female rats that were either fasted or not fasted prior to tests with high-sugar pellets. Additionally, to determine if observed sex differences were due to palatability, rather than differences in hunger/satiety, we tested consumption of another, less palatable, familiar food (standard chow) in rats that were hungry or sated. First, we tested rats in two separate tests: one with high-sugar pellets and the other with standard chow. Then, after completion of ORX signaling blockade experiments we re-tested rats with both foods in a within-subjects design across two tests, in order to examine how individual rats that are hungry or sated consume palatable food versus chow.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Subjects**

Ninety-six, experimentally naïve, male and female Sprague Dawley rats (48 male, 48 female) that were obtained from Envigo at 250–275 g were used in these experiments. There were two sets of experiments, with n = 64 in the first (Palatable Food Consumption and Fos Induction in Orexin Neurons) and n = 32 in the second (Orexin Receptor 1 Signaling Blockade). Rats were individually housed and maintained on a 12 h light/dark cycle (lights on 06:00). Behavioral testing was conducted during the light phase between 09:00 and 16:00. After arrival, rats were given 24 h to acclimate to the colony room with *ad libitum* access to water and standard laboratory chow (Purina Lab Diet Prolab RMH 3000; 3.47 kcal/g; 26% protein, 15% fat, 59% carbohydrates (89% starch)) before any handling or habituation procedures began. All housing and testing procedures were in compliance with the National Institute of Health’s Guidelines for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and were approved by the Boston College Institution Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Palatable food**

The palatable food used here was Test Diet (TD) pellets (STUL 45 mg Scott Pharma: cat#1811155; 3.44 kcal/g; 20% protein, 13% fat, 67% carbohydrates—all from sucrose). Previously, we compared rats’ preference for TD pellets to other high-sugar/high-fat (Oreos, Nabisco), high-sugar/low-fat (Lucky Charms, General Mills), and low-sugar/ high-fat (Cheetos, Frito Lay) foods in adult, sated male rats (Reppucci, 2010). Rats’ preferences were evaluated based on their consumption during 30 min tests (5 g of single food given, test order counterbalanced). Rats preferred all high-sugar foods to the low-sugar/high-fat food and the highest preference score was for TD and the high-sugar/high-fat food (identical mean values), which was higher than the score for high-sugar/low-fat food (but not statistically significant).

**Habitation**

After the 24 h acclimation period, all subjects were handled daily for 5–6 days and were habituated to all experimental conditions prior to testing. All subjects received 1 g of TD pellets at the bottom of their home cages. On a separate occasion, all subjects received empty ceramic testing dishes for a 30 min exposure. Next, all subjects received 10 g TD in ceramic dishes and were allowed to consume it for 30 min (no chow was given) after *ad libitum* access to chow, and after 20 h food deprivation (the order of these two habituation sessions was counterbalanced). Subjects were also habituated to being transported on a cart to and from the procedure room on two separate occasions.

**Palatable food consumption and Fos induction in Orexin neurons**

**Design.** Following a full factorial experimental design, male and female rats were assigned to either *ad libitum* or 20 h food deprivation eating schedules (n = 16 per sex). Within each group, half of the rats (Food condition) received 15 g TD in ceramic testing dishes during testing, and half of the rats (NoFood condition) received empty dishes during testing (n = 8 per group). Testing occurred in home cages and consisted of a 100 min exposure to the dish with food (Food condition) or empty dish (NoFood condition) during which rats were left undisturbed. Rats were perfused immediately after the 100 min testing session to measure test-specific Fos induction. All remaining food was collected and weighed to determine consumption, including any food that was moved from the dishes by rats during the testing sessions. Cages were thoroughly checked by hand for any pellets that may have been buried in the bedding, to ensure correct consumption calculations.

**Histological procedures.** One hundred minutes after the start of the testing session, rats were briefly anaesthetized with isoﬂurane (Henry Schein, Pittsburgh, PA, USA), and then intraperitoneally injected with tribromoethanol (375 mg/kg; Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and transcardially perfused with 0.9% saline followed by 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M borate buffer. The brains were stored for 20–24 h at 4 °C in a paraformaldehyde and 12% sucrose mixture and then rapidly frozen in hexanes cooled with dry ice and stored at −80 °C. Brains were cut into 30 μm coronal sections using a microtome and were collected into four adjacent series. One tissue series was processed with ORX immunohistochemistry followed by Fos immunohistochemistry, described below. Another series was mounted and stained with thionin for identification of cytoarchitectonic borders, and the remaining two were stored in cryoprotectant in a −20 °C freezer.
ORX and Fos double-label immunohistochemistry. Free-floating sections were incubated for 1 h at room temperature in a blocking solution (0.02 M potassium phosphate-buffered saline [KPBS] containing 2% normal horse serum [NHS], 0.3% Triton X-100 and 10% milk), and then incubated with mouse monoclonal antibody against ORX-A (1:500, MAB763; R&D Biosystems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) in the blocking solution for 72 h at 4 °C with gentle agitation. Sections were rinsed with KPBS, 2% NHS and 10% milk, incubated with biotinylated secondary antibody against mouse (1:500, BA-2001; Vector Laboratories) in the blocking solution, rinsed in KPBS, incubated in avidin biotin complex (ABC, PK-6100; Vector Laboratories), rinsed in KPBS and recycled through the secondary antibody and ABC solutions with KPBS rinses in between. Finally, the tissue was processed with 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (SK-4100; Vector Laboratories) to visualize ORX immunoreactivity. Brain sections were then immediately processed again in a similar manner but using anti-c-Fos antibody raised in rabbit (ABE457; Millipore, 1:5000 lot:3059066) and biotinylated secondary against rabbit (1:500, BA-1000; Vector Laboratories). Nuclei for Fos were visualized as gray after a two-minute incubation in nickel-intensified 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (SK-4100; Vector Laboratories). Sections were rinsed, mounted on SuperFrost slides (Fisher Scientific), dried at 45 °C, dehydrated through graded alcohols, cleared in xylenes, and coverslipped with DPX Mountant (Electron Microscopy Services; Hatfield, PA, USA).

Image acquisition and analysis. Processed tissue was imaged using the 20× objective on an Olympus BX51 light microscope with attached Olympus DP74 camera, using DP2-BSW software (Olympus America Inc., Center Valley, PA, USA). Images of the tissue were taken bilaterally throughout the rostrocaudal extent of the lateral hypothalamus containing ORX neurons (Swanson et al., 2005); atlas levels 27–31 (Swanson, 2004). At each atlas level, four images were taken on each side (total 8 images per level), one for each of 4 adjacent quadrants surrounding the fornix (with the fornix placed in the dorsolateral corner of the ventromedial image) as shown in Fig. 1A. The periformal area (pf) was defined by the quadrant located dorsomedial to the fornix, and the remaining quadrants were placed to capture areas located clockwise to the fornix, dorsolateral (dl), ventrolateral (vl), and ventromedial (vm). Three types of neurons were manually identified and counted in the acquired images: single-labeled ORX-positive, single-labeled Fos-positive, and double-labeled ORX and Fos-positive neurons (Fig. 1B). ORX-positive neurons were characterized by distinct cytoplasmic staining (brown) and Fos protein-positive neurons were characterized by distinct nuclear staining (gray). Double-labeled neurons (ORX + Fos) had both cytoplasmic (ORX) and nuclear (Fos) labeling (Fig. 1B). An ORX-containing neuron was counted as positive only if both the cell body and the nucleus were clearly visible in the image. Fos-labeled neurons were counted as positive if the Fos-labeling intensity was clearly above background and contained within the nucleus. Two experimenters conducted cell identification and count analysis. They were blind to the experimental condition of the tissue images observed and images were counter-balanced across experimental conditions and sex. Total counts from images of the left and right hemispheres were pooled to calculate the total number of Fos-positive neurons, the total number of ORX-positive neurons, and the total number of double-labeled (ORX + Fos) neurons within each sampling region (pf, dl, vl, vm) for each brain. To perform a more accurate analysis of the degree of ORX neuron recruitment the percentage of the total number of ORX-specific neurons that were double-labeled with Fos were then calculated for each sampling region. Due to tissue damage or poor tissue quality, six brains were excluded from all neural analyses (one from each of these groups: Female Deprived NoFood, Male Deprived NoFood, Female Ad Lib Food, Male Ad Lib Food, Female Deprived Food, Female Ad Lib NoFood).

Orexin receptor 1 signaling blockade

Drugs. SB-334867 (SB; Tocris Bioscience; Minneapolis, MN, USA) was suspended in a solution consisting of 2% dimethylsulfoxide and 10% 2-hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) in sterile water. Vehicle was 2% dimethylsulfoxide and 10% 2-hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) in sterile water. Both SB and vehicle were administered via intraperitoneal injection at a volume of 4 ml/kg. SB dose was 20 mg/kg and was injected at a concentration of 20 mg/4 ml. Injection was given 30 min prior to Test 3 and Test 4 (see below).

Design. Rats were first tested for consumption of palatable food (TD) under sated and food deprived states to replicate behavioral findings from the first study (Test 1). Then they were tested with standard chow under the same conditions of hunger and satiety to establish whether differences between males and females are due to food palatability (sweet taste) or differences in physiological hunger state (Test 2). The TD and chow have similar caloric densities (3.44 and 3.47 kcal/g, respectively), however, all carbohydrates in TD are from sucrose and 89% of carbohydrates in chow are from starch. Accordingly, TD tastes much sweeter than chow. Next, rats were tested for palatable food consumption (TD) after receiving SB or Vehicle across two counterbalanced tests (Tests 3&4). Because the order of Tests 1 and 2 was not counterbalanced, rats were re-tested for chow and TD consumption at the end of experiments (Tests 5&6).

Half of the male and female rats were food deprived for 20 h (Deprived condition) while the other half had ad libitum access to rat chow (Ad Lib condition) (n = 8/group). All testing sessions consisted of a 60 min exposure to either TD or standard rat chow in ceramic dishes as indicated, after which food was weighed to determine consumption. Experimenters were careful to
include in these calculations any food that remained in the
dish, as well as any food that was displaced to other areas
of the cage during testing. Cages were thoroughly
checked by hand after each test for any pellets that may
have fallen out of the dish or been buried in the bedding
by rats, to ensure correct consumption calculations.
Rats were provided with sufficiently large quantities of
food to ensure unrestricted eating (15–20 g, consistent
within each test). Only one type of food was given
during the tests. Thirty minutes prior to tests 3 and 4,
rats received either an SB or Vehicle intraperitoneal
injection in a within-subjects design (SB and Veh order
was counterbalanced across the two tests). For tests 5
and 6 rats were also tested in a within-subjects design
with TD and chow (order was counterbalanced across
tests). All testing sessions were conducted 2–4 days
apart.

Statistical analyses
Data were analyzed using analysis of variances
(ANOVAs) and t-tests. Main effects were followed by
post hoc Tukey HSD analyses. Statistical analyses were
performed using SPSS. Data are presented as mean
± SEM. In all cases, p ≤ 0.05 was considered
significant. The data were tested for normal distributions
and equal variances. If these criteria were not met, log
and square root transformations were used to verify the
results. In one analysis, after square root transformation
the p value was 0.051 and that is reported in the
Results. In the Orexin Receptor 1 Signaling Blockade
study (Tests 3&4), the data were not normally
distributed due to an outlier. The outlier was identified
by a box plot (Male Deprived) and was removed from
the data (Fig. 6) and the statistical values are reported
in the Results for both the analysis with and without the
outlier.

RESULTS

Palatable food consumption and Fos induction in
Orexin neurons

Consumption. Males and females exhibited different
patterns of TD consumption during the testing session
(Fig. 2A). Males ate more when they were in a
depprivation state than ad lib, while females ate similar,
large amounts regardless of their physiological state.
ANOVA of consumption with sex and deprivation state
(Ad Lib, Deprived) confirmed significant between-
subjects main effects of sex ($F_{(1,28)} = 8.496, p = 0.007$),
depression state ($F_{(1,28)} = 25.254, p < 0.0001$), and
interaction of sex with deprivation
state ($F_{(1,28)} = 5.156, p = 0.031$). Post hoc tests showed that Ad Lib males
ate significantly less than any of the other groups
($p < 0.005$ in all cases), Ad Lib females ate similar
amounts as deprived females and deprived males
($p = 0.301$ and $p = 0.455$, respectively).
The average body weights for each group of rats prior
to testing are shown in Table 1. All subjects arrived at the
same weight range; however males gained weight much
more rapidly and thus had higher body weights than females prior to testing ($F_{1,55} = 74.635$, $p < 0.0001$). There were no body weight differences based on deprivation state or food access conditions within each sex ($p > 0.05$ for all main effects and interactions).

**ORX neurons.** Table 2 displays the total number of ORX neurons (mean ± SEM) in each sampling region for sex and condition. No main effects or interactions were observed in the total number of ORX neurons in the LHA. Similarly, there were no effects or interactions when each sampling region was analyzed separately. The pf region had the highest number of ORX neurons compared to all sampling quadrants. ANOVA of total ORX neurons with sex, deprivation state (Ad Lib, Deprived), food access (Food, No Food), and region (pf, dl, vl, vm) found a main effect of region ($F_{3,200} = 209.309$, $p < 0.0001$). Follow up tests found that pf and dl differed from all other regions ($p < 0.0001$ in all cases), while vm and vl were similar ($p = 0.401$).

**Fos induction in ORX neurons.** Images in Fig. 3 show Fos induction in ORX neurons in each group. The graphs in Fig. 4 show that Fos induction in ORX neurons was the lowest in Ad Lib groups without food access, and that food consumption and deprivation state induced Fos in both sexes. ANOVA of the percentage of ORX neurons that were double-labeled with Fos with sex, food access (Food, No Food), and deprivation condition (Ad Lib, Deprived) found significant main effects of food access (more Fos in the Food compared to No Food groups; $F_{1,50} = 6.491$, $p = 0.014$; after square root transformation $F_{1,50} = 7.160$, $p = 0.010$) and deprivation state, (more Fos in the Deprived compared to Ad Lib groups; $F_{1,50} = 4.116$, $p = 0.048$; after square root transformation $F_{1,50} = 4.002$, $p = 0.051$), but no significant effect of sex ($F_{1,50} = 0.497$, $p = 0.484$; after square root transformation $F_{1,50} = 0.519$, $p = 0.475$) or interactions.

Table 3 displays the percent of ORX neurons that were labeled with Fos (mean ± SEM) in each sampling region for each sex and condition. Analyses of each quadrant separately, found that pf exhibited main effects of both deprivation state and food access (more Fos in the Food compared to No Food groups: $F_{1,50} = 5.043$, $p = 0.029$ and more Fos in the Deprived compared to Ad Lib groups: $F_{1,50} = 6.113$, $p = 0.017$) but no effects of sex or interactions. There were main effects of food access only for dl and vl regions (more Fos in the Food compared to No Food groups in dl: $F_{1,50} = 12.579$, $p = 0.001$ and vl: $F_{1,50} = 4.617$, $p = 0.037$) and no effects for the vm region ($p > 0.05$ for all).

**Total Fos induction.** We analyzed the total number of Fos-positive neurons in the LHA (sum across four quadrants). ANOVA of total number of Fos neurons in the LHA found the main effects of food access (more Fos in the Food compared to No Food groups; $F_{1,50} = 6.491$, $p = 0.014$; after square root transformation $F_{1,50} = 7.160$, $p = 0.010$) and deprivation state, (more Fos in the Deprived compared to Ad Lib groups; $F_{1,50} = 4.116$, $p = 0.048$; after square root transformation $F_{1,50} = 4.002$, $p = 0.051$), but no significant effect of sex ($F_{1,50} = 0.497$, $p = 0.484$; after square root transformation $F_{1,50} = 0.519$, $p = 0.475$) or interactions.

---

**Table 1.** Body weights (mean ± SEM) prior to testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ad Lib</th>
<th></th>
<th>Deprived</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male No Food</td>
<td>Male Food</td>
<td>Female No Food</td>
<td>Female Food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Food</td>
<td>285 ± 4</td>
<td>262 ± 5</td>
<td>289 ± 2</td>
<td>261 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td>290 ± 2</td>
<td>265 ± 7</td>
<td>294 ± 3</td>
<td>266 ± 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Total numbers of ORX neurons observed in the LHA. Displayed are values for total number of ORX neurons counted within each sampling quadrant for each group (mean ± SEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LHA Region</th>
<th>Ad Lib</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Deprived</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male No Food</td>
<td>Male Food</td>
<td>Female No Food</td>
<td>Female Food</td>
<td>Male No Food</td>
<td>Male Food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>117 ± 11</td>
<td>126 ± 14</td>
<td>136 ± 11</td>
<td>124 ± 11</td>
<td>123 ± 17</td>
<td>150 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pf</td>
<td>61 ± 8</td>
<td>63 ± 9</td>
<td>72 ± 7</td>
<td>65 ± 5</td>
<td>69 ± 11</td>
<td>76 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dl</td>
<td>30 ± 3</td>
<td>33 ± 4</td>
<td>34 ± 3</td>
<td>32 ± 5</td>
<td>27 ± 4</td>
<td>37 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vm</td>
<td>11 ± 2</td>
<td>13 ± 2</td>
<td>11 ± 1</td>
<td>11 ± 2</td>
<td>11 ± 2</td>
<td>20 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vl</td>
<td>14 ± 1</td>
<td>16 ± 2</td>
<td>19 ± 2</td>
<td>16 ± 3</td>
<td>15 ± 3</td>
<td>21 ± 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fos in the Food compared to No Food group; $F_{1,50} = 9.030, p = 0.004$ for $pf$, $F_{1,50} = 19.219, p < 0.0001$ for $vm$, and $F_{1,50} = 4.537, p = 0.038$ for $vl$). There was only a main effect of food access within $dl$ region (more Fos in the Food compared to No Food group; $F_{1,50} = 4.112, p = 0.048$). There were no main effects of sex or interactions within any region.

**Table 4** displays the values of total number of Fos-positive neurons (mean ± SEM) across LHA and in each sampling region for each sex and condition.

Analyses of each quadrant separately, found that within $pf$, $vm$ and $vl$ regions there were main effects of deprivation state (more Fos in the Deprived compared to Ad Lib groups; $F_{1,50} = 4.982, p = 0.030$ for $pf$, $F_{1,50} = 15.115, p < 0.0005$ for $vm$, and $F_{1,50} = 4.751, p = 0.034$ for $vl$) and food access (more Fos in the Food compared to No Food group; $F_{1,50} = 10.544, p = 0.002$) and deprivation (more Fos in the Deprived compared to Ad Lib groups; $F_{1,50} = 7.252, p = 0.010$), but no effect of sex ($F_{1,50} = 0.111, p = 0.741$) or interactions.

**Orexin receptor 1 signaling blockade**

Consumption. In two separate tests, we measured the consumption of TD and chow (Fig. 5). In the test with TD (Fig. 5A) all rats ate similar high amounts regardless of sex or deprivation. This observation was supported by ANOVA which found no main effects of sex ($F_{1,28} = 1.136, p = 0.296$), deprivation state ($F_{1,28} = 3.866, p = 0.059$), or sex by deprivation state interaction ($F_{1,28} = 0.297, p = 0.590$).

In the test with chow (Fig. 5B), both male and female rats in the deprived condition ate more than Ad Lib. There was a main effect of deprivation state ($F_{1,28} = 86.839, p < 0.0001$) and no effect of sex ($F_{1,28} = 4.053, p = 0.054$) or sex by deprivation state interaction ($F_{1,28} = 0.162, p = 0.690$).

**ORX-R1 antagonist.** In Tests 3 & 4, rats were tested for palatable food intake after receiving SB or Vehicle (order counterbalanced). Rats in all groups consumed less TD during the test following an SB injection compared to their consumption during the test following a Veh injection (Fig. 6). In addition, males in deprived state consumed more than males tested Ad Lib, while females consumed similar amounts. A mixed design repeated measures ANOVA (repeated: drug treatment (SB, Veh) and between: sex and deprivation groups) of consumption across tests 3 & 4 found a main effect of treatment ($F_{1,28} = 19.992, p < 0.0005$) but no effect of sex or interactions. In the same analysis, there was a between-subject effect of deprivation state ($F_{1,28} = 14.793, p = 0.001$), and a sex by deprivation state interaction ($F_{1,28} = 5.937, p = 0.021$). To follow up on the sex by deprivation interaction effect, a repeated measures ANOVA was performed for each
The current study examined hedonic eating and the role of ORX in male and female rats. We compared consumption of the same palatable food under different physiological conditions of hunger and satiety in both sexes. In each of four tests in two studies with different animals, females consistently consumed similar amounts of high-sugar (TD) pellets regardless of whether they were fasted or not prior to testing. In contrast, males typically adjusted consumption according to their physiological hunger; rats that were not fasted ate less than those that were fasted prior to testing, except during one test in which both groups ate similar amounts. Both males and females ate standard chow according to their satiety/hunger state, indicating that the observed sex differences were specific to hedonic eating.

In order to replicate behavioral sex differences observed in the first experiment, a new group of rats underwent testing under the same conditions in the second experiment. Consistent with the original

**DISCUSSION**

Table 3. Fos induction in ORX neurons. The table shows the percentage of ORX neurons that were labeled with Fos (mean ± SEM) across LHA (all) and in each sampling region for each sex and condition. See text for statistical differences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LHA Region</th>
<th>Ad Lib Male</th>
<th>Ad Lib Female</th>
<th>Deprived Male</th>
<th>Deprived Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>14.7 ± 4.4</td>
<td>28.1 ± 6.1</td>
<td>12.3 ± 2.1</td>
<td>25.4 ± 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pf</td>
<td>17.3 ± 4.8</td>
<td>33.6 ± 7.1</td>
<td>14.1 ± 3.0</td>
<td>31.6 ± 7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dl</td>
<td>9.7 ± 3.3</td>
<td>20.9 ± 6.1</td>
<td>8.5 ± 2.9</td>
<td>17.9 ± 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vm</td>
<td>24.3 ± 10.1</td>
<td>37.2 ± 8.3</td>
<td>19.9 ± 5.5</td>
<td>24.9 ± 8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vl</td>
<td>8.0 ± 3.6</td>
<td>14.6 ± 2.4</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.9</td>
<td>8.8 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 4.** Fos induction in ORX neurons. Graphs show the percentage of total ORX neurons that were labeled with Fos in male and female Ad Lib and Deprived groups that either received food or no food during testing (mean ± SEM). *Indicates a difference (p < 0.05) between Ad Lib and Deprived and between Food and No Food conditions (N = 7–8/group; N = 29 for each Ad Lib, Deprived, Food, and No Food conditions).

**Table 3.** Fos induction in ORX neurons. The table shows the percentage of ORX neurons that were labeled with Fos (mean ± SEM) across LHA (all) and in each sampling region for each sex and condition. See text for statistical differences
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<td>9.7 ± 3.3</td>
<td>20.9 ± 6.1</td>
<td>8.5 ± 2.9</td>
<td>17.9 ± 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vm</td>
<td>24.3 ± 10.1</td>
<td>37.2 ± 8.3</td>
<td>19.9 ± 5.5</td>
<td>24.9 ± 8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vl</td>
<td>8.0 ± 3.6</td>
<td>14.6 ± 2.4</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.9</td>
<td>8.8 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 4.** Fos induction in ORX neurons. Graphs show the percentage of total ORX neurons that were labeled with Fos in male and female Ad Lib and Deprived groups that either received food or no food during testing (mean ± SEM). *Indicates a difference (p < 0.05) between Ad Lib and Deprived and between Food and No Food conditions (N = 7–8/group; N = 29 for each Ad Lib, Deprived, Food, and No Food conditions).
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observation, female consumption was driven by palatability, and was not adjusted according to their satiety state. Both hungry and sated females ate similar amounts of TD pellets. Males in sated condition in this experiment consumed similar amounts as hungry males, which was also similar to both female groups. Thus males behaved differently across the first and second experiments, as their consumption followed physiological state in the first and palatability in the second. In contrast, females consistently ate according to food palatability in both experiments and during additional tests, as discussed below.

In order to determine whether the observed sex differences were due to palatability or differences in hunger/satiety, we measured consumption of standard rat chow under the same conditions as during the TD tests. Both male and female rats adjusted their consumption of standard chow according to their hunger/satiety state. Rats of both sexes that were fasted prior to testing ate much larger amounts of chow than rats that had *ad libitum* access to food prior to testing. Thus, we found sex differences in the consumption of TD pellets but not in that of standard chow. However, these tests were not counterbalanced in order, and the test with standard chow occurred after TD testing. Thus, to confirm these findings, we re-tested these rats at the end of second study (after SB treatment, discussed below), with TD and standard chow across two counterbalanced tests, in a within-subjects design. Sated rats of both sexes ate more TD than chow, while fasted rats ate similar amounts of TD and chow. Furthermore, consistent with the patterns observed in the first experiment, females in fasted and sated groups ate similar amounts of TD, while males in the sated condition ate less TD than males in the fasted condition.

The findings in the current study are in agreement with prior evidence, in Sprague Dawley rats, that females may have enhanced drive for palatable food compared to males (Freund et al., 2015; Reichelt et al., 2016). In a binge-eating model, Sisk and colleagues have demonstrated that females are more likely to be classified as binge eating prone than males.
indicates a difference in TD consumption between Ad Lib and Klump et al., 2013). In another study with a conditioned males (chow in a within-subjects design (the order of tests was counterbalanced). *Indicates a difference between TD and Chow for Ad Lib males (p = 0.038; n = 8) and females (p = 0.001; n = 8). # indicates a difference in TD consumption between Ad Lib and Deprived males (p = 0.001; n = 8 per group).

(24 h) deprivation or ad libitum food access (Tapia et al., 2019). That study found that female rats had higher responding to obtain sucrose pellets in a progressive ratio schedule of reinforcement and consumed more pellets than males in fasted and sated states. Current results add to that prior work by revealing that differences exist between the sexes in hedonic eating before any training, and that females differ from males in consumption of palatable foods when sated. Together, prior and current findings in animals are relevant to human eating behavior. Collectively the findings suggest that female biological vulnerability to hedonic eating may be casual to binge and other forms of overeating and associated disorders.

**ORX neurons: activation and signaling during palatable feeding tests**

*Fos induction in ORX neurons during palatable feeding tests.* To begin to determine the neural substrates underlying hedonic eating and sex differences, we characterized Fos induction in ORX neurons during a palatable feeding test in males and females. We systematically counted ORX neurons across the entire rostro-caudal extent of the LHA, within four adjacent quadrants that were placed dorsomedially, dorsolaterally, ventromedially and ventrolaterally in respect to fornix. This parcellation was chosen in order to reliably compare different groups of ORX neurons across all conditions. In terms of density of ORX neurons, each quadrant differed from the others. The pf quadrant was the densest, followed by dl, vl, and vm quadrants. These patterns generally match prior observations (de Lecea et al., 1998; Sakurai et al., 1998; Swanson et al., 2005; Yoshida et al., 2006).

We analyzed total number of ORX neurons across the four quadrants together, as well as within each quadrant separately. There were no differences in the number of ORX neurons across the LHA, or in any of the four quadrants analyzed, across any groups. Previous research found higher ORX-A content and mRNA in the hypothalamus in females compared to males, as well as higher body weight in female ORX-knockouts (Taheri et al., 1999; Ramanathan and Siegel, 2014; Grafe et al., 2017). Here, we identified ORX neurons with the immuno-histochemistry method, which does not linearly correspond to the substrate quantities beyond the threshold for labeling. Thus, we cannot rule out the possibility that males and females produce different amounts of ORX. Furthermore, levels of ORX and its receptors in the brain tissue were shown to vary in female rats across estrous cycle (Russell et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2003; Porkka-Heiskanen et al., 2004); for a review see (Grafe and Bhatnagar, 2018). We did not monitor estrous cycling, in order to avoid potentially stressful effects of that procedure that could impact food intake.

Food and fasting independently impacted Fos induction in ORX neurons. Rats that had access to TD pellets during testing had higher numbers of ORX neurons with Fos compared to rats that were not given food during testing. That recruitment of ORX neurons may be driving palatable intake in hungry and sated states, which we tested in the second study.

Fasting also increased Fos induction in ORX neurons. Rats that were fasted prior to testing had more Fos induction in ORX neurons than rats that had ad libitum access to food. These findings are in agreement with the original observations that fasting upregulates ORX mRNA and that ORX mediates activity/arousal associated with energy balance changes (Sakurai et al., 1998; Cai et al., 1999; Yamanaka et al., 2003); for a review see (Barson and Leibowitz, 2017). Previously, Funabashi et al. (2009) found that fasting activated more ORX neurons in females compared to males. The current study found fasting-induced activation was similar in both sexes. The discrepancy could be due to a longer fast in Funabashi et al., study (48 h versus 20 h) or differences in the marker of neural activity used (pCREB versus Fos).

Interestingly, we found different activation patterns based on location of ORX neurons. ORX neurons that were responsive to both food and fasting were located

---

**Fig. 7.** Consumption tests with TD and chow. Graph shows consumption across two tests (Test 5 & 6) with TD and regular rat chow in a within-subjects design (the order of tests was counterbalanced). *Indicates a difference between TD and Chow for Ad Lib males (p = 0.038; n = 8) and females (p = 0.001; n = 8). # indicates a difference in TD consumption between Ad Lib and Deprived males (p = 0.001; n = 8 per group).
within the pf (dorsomedial) quadrant. Food, but not fasting, activated dorsolateral and ventrolateral quadrants (dl, vl), while neither activated vm quadrant. An important task for future research is to determine the connectional targets within the reward system of these different groups of ORX neurons, and how they are engaged during hedonic eating (Harris et al., 2005; Zheng et al., 2007; Ho and Berridge, 2013; Castro et al., 2016; Ferrario et al., 2016).

In addition to the analysis of ORX neurons, we counted total Fos induction in all neurons within the LHA and found similar patterns. Groups that had food access and groups that were fasted prior to testing had higher numbers of neurons with Fos than corresponding controls. The pf, vm and vl quadrants had more Fos induction in the food and fasted conditions, while within the dl region only food access impacted Fos induction.

The food and fasting associated Fos induction patterns within the LHA in the current study are in agreement with prior work. Most prior work was exclusively in males but a study that compared males and females found no sex differences in hypothalamic activation, as in the current study (Sinclair et al., 2017). However, another study found more Fos induction in ORX neurons in females compared to males under control and repeated restraint stress conditions (Grafe et al., 2017). Food anticipation in food-entrained rats has also been shown to induce Fos within the LHA, including in ORX neurons (Johnstone et al., 2006). In the current study rats were not trained to expect feeding, however, they were familiar with the pellets and Fos may be due to some anticipatory activity in addition to consumption.

Our findings are well matched to the patterns of Zseli et al. study (Zséli et al., 2016) that examined satiety network recruitment during refeeding (for 2 h) after fasting (for 40 h) in male rats and found dense Fos induction across the LHA. They found dense Fos in both fasted and re-fed rats within the suprafrontal (LHAs) and juxta-dorsomedial (LHAjd) regions (within our pf quadrant), the dorsal zone (LHAjvd) (within our vm quadrant), and posterior (LHAjvp) and medial (LHAVm) zones (within our vl quadrant), as well as the dorsal (LHAd) region (within our dl quadrant). We found that in all quadrants with these regions (pf, vl, vm), food access and fasting increased Fos induction, except in dl (which includes LHAd) where only food, but not fasting, increased the number of neurons with Fos. However, Zseli et al., study did not have a non-fasted condition, which precludes direct comparisons of fasting-induced activation across the two studies.

**ORX signaling during palatable feeding tests.** The first study showed that ORX neurons were activated in both fasted and sated food groups. To follow up on these findings, we tested whether ORX receptor 1 signaling mediates palatable food intake regardless of physiological hunger in both sexes. This is in agreement with prior work in males, and with the notion that ORX is critical in driving non-homeostatic hunger and the overconsumption of food and drugs (Rodgers et al., 2001; Choi et al., 2010; Barson and Leibowitz, 2017).

Previously, Cason and Aston-Jones (2014) found that SB decreased sucrose self-administration only in fasted but not in *ad libitum*-fed females. In the current study there was an overall effect of SB across fasted and *ad libitum*-fed rats of both sexes. A difference in the amount of effort needed to obtain palatable food in the two studies—free feeding versus lever-pressing—may be the reason for different findings in the two studies.

Furthermore, in these tests, consumption patterns of males and females were consistent with sex differences we found in the first experiment. Fasted males consumed more than sated males, while both fasted and fed females consumed similar amounts. Thus, across four tests in two studies with different animals, females consistently consumed based on palatability, while males consumed according to their physiological need in three tests.

**Sex differences**

Interestingly, there were no sex differences in Fos induction in ORX neurons during palatable feeding tests. Furthermore, blockade of ORX signaling decreased consumption in both sexes. These results indicate that ORX is important for hedonic eating in both sexes, and may not be key to sex differences. However, there are methodological limitations that may have precluded the detection of the role of ORX in behavioral sex differences. As discussed above, the immunohistochemistry methods used here to identify ORX neurons and Fos induction are semi-quantitative methods that do not linearly track the quantities of substrates. Males and females may have different receptor sensitivities and a lower dose of SB may be needed to detect sex differences (Cason and Aston-Jones, 2014; Barson, 2018). The dose used in the current study was higher than the lowest dose (3 mg/kg) shown to impact palatable intake (Barson, 2018) but it did not produce non-specific locomotor effects (Cole et al., 2015; Keefer et al., 2016). Nevertheless, this dose could have potentially activated orexin 2 receptors in addition to ORXR1 and could have even affected other neurochemicals (Scammell and Winrow, 2011; Barson, 2018). Similarly, systemic pharmacological manipulations do not address specific targets of action. Thus, different amounts of ORX may be released in males and females from the same number of neurons. Furthermore, differences in ORX targets and distribution of ORX receptors may mediate hedonic eating differently in males and females. Supporting this idea, more Fos was found within the infralimbic cortex and the dorsal shell of the nucleus accumbens in females compared to males after consumption of palatable food (Sinclair et al., 2017). These areas contain ORX fibers and receptors (Peyron et al., 1998; Marcus et al., 2001; Baldo et al., 2003) and ORX infusions into the nucleus accumbens enhanced hedonic
responses to sucrose and consumption (Castro et al., 2016). Furthermore, sex differences have been shown in a prominent ORX target, the paraventricular nucleus of the thalamus, during renewal of responding to cues for the same palatable food used in the current study (Anderson and Petrovich, 2017).

In conclusion, this comprehensive behavioral and neural analysis in intact, adult males and females is a first step in characterizing similarities and differences between the sexes in hedonic eating (McCarthy et al., 2012). These findings reveal important sex differences in feeding behavior that warrant further investigation. Of notable interest are potential targets of ORX signaling as substrates underlying eating dysregulation in males and females.
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